



Community and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee

Thursday 24 October 2019 at 6.00 pm

Conference Hall - Brent Civic Centre, Engineers Way,
Wembley, HA9 0FJ

Membership:

Members

Councillors:

Ketan Sheth (Chair)
Colwill (Vice-Chair)
Afzal
Ethapemi
Hector
Knight
Shahzad
Stephens
Thakkar

Substitute Members

Councillors:

Aden, S Butt, S Choudhary, Gbajumo, Gill, Johnson,
Kabir, Kelcher, Mashari and Nerva

Councillors:

Kansagra and Maurice

Co-opted Members

Helen Askwith, Church of England Schools
Dinah Walker, Parent Governor Representative
Simon Goulden, Jewish Faith Schools
Sayed Jaffar Milani, Muslim Faith Schools
Alloysius Frederick, Roman Catholic Diocese Schools

Observers

Brent Youth Parliament
John Roche, Jenny Cooper & Azra Haque

For further information contact: Hannah O'Brien, Governance Officer
hannah.o'brien@brent.gov.uk

For electronic copies of minutes, reports and agendas, and to be alerted when the minutes of this meeting have been published visit:

www.brent.gov.uk/committees

The press and public are welcome to attend this meeting

Notes for Members - Declarations of Interest:

If a Member is aware they have a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest* in an item of business, they must declare its existence and nature at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent and must leave the room without participating in discussion of the item.

If a Member is aware they have a Personal Interest** in an item of business, they must declare its existence and nature at the start of the meeting or when it becomes apparent.

If the Personal Interest is also significant enough to affect your judgement of a public interest and either it affects a financial position or relates to a regulatory matter then after disclosing the interest to the meeting the Member must leave the room without participating in discussion of the item, except that they may first make representations, answer questions or give evidence relating to the matter, provided that the public are allowed to attend the meeting for those purposes.

***Disclosable Pecuniary Interests:**

- (a) **Employment, etc.** - Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit gain.
- (b) **Sponsorship** - Any payment or other financial benefit in respect of expenses in carrying out duties as a member, or of election; including from a trade union.
- (c) **Contracts** - Any current contract for goods, services or works, between the Councillors or their partner (or a body in which one has a beneficial interest) and the council.
- (d) **Land** - Any beneficial interest in land which is within the council's area.
- (e) **Licences**- Any licence to occupy land in the council's area for a month or longer.
- (f) **Corporate tenancies** - Any tenancy between the council and a body in which the Councillor or their partner have a beneficial interest.
- (g) **Securities** - Any beneficial interest in securities of a body which has a place of business or land in the council's area, if the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body or of any one class of its issued share capital.

****Personal Interests:**

The business relates to or affects:

- (a) Anybody of which you are a member or in a position of general control or management, and:
 - To which you are appointed by the council;
 - which exercises functions of a public nature;
 - which is directed is to charitable purposes;
 - whose principal purposes include the influence of public opinion or policy (including a political party or trade union).

- (b) The interests a of a person from whom you have received gifts or hospitality of at least £50 as a member in the municipal year;

or

A decision in relation to that business might reasonably be regarded as affecting the well-being or financial position of:

- You yourself;

a member of your family or your friend or any person with whom you have a close association or any person or body who is the subject of a registrable personal interest

Agenda

Introductions, if appropriate.

Item	Page
------	------

1	Apologies for absence and clarification of alternate members	
----------	---	--

2	Declarations of interests	
----------	----------------------------------	--

Members are invited to declare at this stage of the meeting, the nature and existence of any relevant disclosable pecuniary or personal interests in the items on this agenda and to specify the item(s) to which they relate.

3	Deputations (if any)	
----------	-----------------------------	--

To hear any deputations received from members of the public in accordance with Standing Order 67.

4	North West London NHS Financial Recovery Plan	1 - 16
----------	--	--------

To receive and consider a report from Brent Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) providing an update on the financial position of the North West London (NWL) Collaboration of CCGs and the financial recovery programmes in place.

5	North West London Commissioning Reform Case for Change	17 - 28
----------	---	---------

To receive a report from Brent Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) updating on progress with the commissioning reform in the North West London Collaboration of CCGs and the decision of Brent CCG to move to a single CCG in 2021 in light of the need to focus on financial recovery along with a move to a single operating structure across North West London.

6	Any other urgent business	
----------	----------------------------------	--

Date of the next meeting: Tuesday 26 November 2019



- Please remember to **SWITCH OFF** your mobile phone during the meeting.
- The meeting room is accessible by lift and seats will be provided for members of the public.

 Brent	Community Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee 24 th October 2019
	Report from Brent Clinical Commissioning Group
North West London NHS Financial Recovery Plan	

Wards Affected:	All Wards
Key or Non-Key Decision:	1). To note the financial position of NWL Collaboration of CCGs and of Brent CCG and the financial recovery programmes in place to improve the position and make the NWL health economy more sustainable.
Open or Part/Fully Exempt: (If exempt, please highlight relevant paragraph of Part 1, Schedule 12A of 1972 Local Government Act)	Open
No. of Appendices:	None
Background Papers:	None
Contact Officer(s): (Name, Title, Contact Details)	Sheik Auladin, Managing Director, Brent CCG - sauladin@nhs.net

1. Background

- 1.1. The NWL CCGs were set a financial target in 2018/19 (known in the NHS as a “control total”) of a deficit no greater than £9.4m. The control total was set as a deficit to recognise the long-standing financial problems in Harrow CCG. In fact the CCGs ended the year with a deficit of £56.7m, a variance to control total of £47.4m. An estimated £10.2m of the deficit related to GP at Hand.
- 1.2. The deficit needs to be seen against total expenditure of £3.5bn, so the deficit represents 1.6% of expenditure.
- 1.3. For the year we are currently in, 2019-20, the CCGs were given a control total of £21.5m deficit, but regulators accepted that the CCGs were unlikely to

achieve this and set us a target of a deficit no worse than £50.9m. Included in this position were savings of £98.9m through the CCGs QIPP (quality, innovation, partnership and prevention) programme.

1.4. The CCG planned savings for 2019-20 represents £100m out of £3.5bn or 2.8%. There are some national policies which call for greater savings in specific areas. For example the CCGs have to demonstrate a 20% reduction in running costs compared with 2017-18.

1.5. In September, four months into the financial year, we recognised that we were in danger of going significantly off plan, and identified a potential additional expenditure of £61.6m, on top of the deficit already in our plans.

1.6. At the same time, on the provider side, London North West NHS Trust recognised risks to its financial plan of £20m, making the total risk to the system £82m.

1.7. Of this potential additional £61.6m variance from plan, £54.6m related additional acute costs. The table shows the breakdown against Points Of Delivery (POD). In addition CCGs are also facing Continuing Healthcare pressures, equating to £7m.

1.8. Combined, this equates to a financial challenge which would result in a further deficit of £61.6m above plan.

POD	VARIANCE			
	YTD M4	FOT	Risk Share	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m
A&E	-£1.67	-£5.70		-£5.70
NEL	-£10.70	-£34.60		-£34.60
Critical Care	£1.30	£1.40		£1.40
OP FA	-£2.50	-£7.00		-£7.00
OP FU	-£2.70	-£7.30		-£7.30
OP Procedures	-£1.00	-£2.90		-£2.90
OP Sub-Total	-£6.20	-£17.20		-£17.20
DC & EI	-£5.30	-£13.20		-£13.20
Direct Access	-£1.40	-£3.00		-£3.00
Maternity	-£0.40	-£2.10		-£2.10
All other	£8.72	-£11.50	£31.20	£19.70
Total	-£15.65	-£85.90	£31.20	-£54.60

2. In response to these pressures we developed a plan to bring our finances back to plan.

2.1. Brent CCG is currently projecting a forecast outturn of an £8.1 million deficit, which is in line with the financial plan that we submitted to NHS England. Whilst a financial deficit is clearly not desirable, it is acknowledged that it will

take time to recover our position, and our financial recovery plan is aimed at addressing that.

2.2. It is important to note that no matter what financial challenges we face, as a clinically-led organisation, **the safety of our patients and the quality of our services will always come first.** The draft plan we published involves looking at a 20% saving driven by reforming the way we work, and enforcing existing policies. This figure does not relate to service changes or patient care. We will continue to work closely with patients, local residents, NHS staff, our local authority partners, Healthwatch and the voluntary sector as our plans for the next five years take shape.

2.3. We continue to abide by NHS constitutional standards, that is, we are committed to achieving waiting list targets, cancer and mental health waiting times and A&E waiting time targets as set out in our operating plan submission. In addition we continue to meet the mental health investment standard, that is, additional investment in mental health standards in line with the CCGs overall increase in allocation each year.

3. Why are we in deficit?

3.1. Since 2015, expected growth in our population has been outstripped by increased demand for hospital care. The North West London population has grown by 5%, while acute activity has increased by 18%. In particular, unplanned care has risen by 25%, accounting for over half our increased spending.

3.2. Our funding allocations have increased. We have seen a 3.4% budget uplift for this year. Some additional capital investment has been made available for Hillingdon Hospital and St Mary's Hospital in order to address the urgent and longstanding site maintenance issues.

3.3. However, while our funding allocations have increased, the rise in demand for health services has outstripped the increases in funding. Lack of standardisation and efficiency in commissioning of clinical and non-clinical services has increased costs and led to variations in the quality and costs of care. Estates and staffing costs have also played a part in the size of our deficit.

3.4. Until recently, our financial performance was broadly similar to the NHS in London as a whole. However, our position has worsened over the last year.

4. Brent's Financial Allocation from NHS England

4.1. NHS England published 5 year allocations for CCGs in January 2019 covering the period from 2019/20 to 2023/24. These allocations are part of the deployment of NHS England's five-year revenue funding settlement, averaging 3.4% a year in real terms and reaching £20.5bn extra a year by 2023/24.

Overall CCG allocations are being set on the basis of NHS England's five-year real terms revenue funding profile, which has now been set by Government as 3.6%, 3.1%, 3.0%, 3.0% and 4.1%.

Individual CCG allocations are based on historic funding levels uplifted by differential growth rates depending on their relative distance from target allocation, which is based on a national funding formulary.

4.2. Changes to Target Allocations

Target allocations are based on a revised target formula following changes recommended by the long standing independent advisory committee, the Advisory Committee on Resource Allocation (ACRA).

4.3. Pace of Change

Pace of change prevents movements in target allocations leading to volatility in terms of final allocations. Key principles are:

- Additional growth is applied to those areas most below target, with an aim that no area is more than 5% below target. This is achieved in 2019/20 and every year thereafter.
- Areas close to target receive equal funding growth per capita. This applies to all CCGs between -2.5% and +5% from target in 2019/20, and CCGs between 0% and +5% from target in all later years.
- Areas more than 5% above target receive a lower level of funding growth, tapering down to floor growth for those more than 10% above.
- 0.1% of CCG programme and primary care allocations are distributed on a place-based basis. This continues the approach adopted in the last allocations round and is intended to take a more holistic view of allocations for a place (including CCG, primary care and specialised funding).

Further information on the published allocations can be found on the link below.

<https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/note-on-ccg-allocations-2019-20-2023-24.pdf>

The table below shows the published allocations for 19/20 for both the core CCG and primary care allocations.

Within London we have the most over-target CCG (West) and the most under-target CCG (Brent). This means that Brent CCG gets higher growth than over-target CCGs (4.15% compared to 1.93% in West).

Overall NWL CCGs are 0.70% over-target allocation (£23m). Part of the reason for Brent moving below target is due to changes in the calculations of the target allocations for 2019/20 giving higher weighting to deprivation.

	2019/20 RRL								
	Total	Brent	Central	Ealing	H&F	Harrow	Hillingdon	Hounslow	West
Core and Primary									
Allocation	3,315,300	503,844	317,094	579,539	308,884	348,722	432,025	412,141	413,051
Target Allocation	3,292,228	525,654	298,535	591,754	320,338	352,076	436,933	418,095	348,844
Difference	23,073	(21,810)	18,559	(12,214)	(11,454)	(3,354)	(4,908)	(5,954)	64,208
Difference %	0.70%	-4.15%	6.22%	-2.06%	-3.58%	-0.95%	-1.12%	-1.42%	18.41%

5. Our Financial Recovery Plans: four strands

5.1. Strand one: same service, lower cost

There are changes we can make which will offer the same services for a lower cost to the NHS through a change in supplier. These will not impact patient care, and although details will be publically available, we do not see any rationale for a public engagement process.

Example: We expect to see savings from the new London-wide procurement of the home oxygen service.

5.2. Strand two: Changes to referral behaviour

Strand two of our financial recovery work involves looking at ways we can influence GP behaviours by comparing referral patterns and identifying best practice. By looking at the underlying causes of the different referral patterns we can identify best practice, share learning, and make sure we are always referring patients in the most appropriate way, so they get the right care for their need.

Unwarranted variation in GP referral patterns has already been reduced through practice visits and action plans, which we now have in place with 132 practices across North West London. CCGs have agreed with in-sector providers a standardised approach for consultant-to-consultant referrals, and for follow-up appointments with outpatients. We are working on agreed pathways for same day care, and working with community trusts to agree in common a set of 'out of hospital standards' to safely reduce avoidable and unnecessary admissions, and speed up patient discharge.

CCGs will continue the practice visits where we discuss activity trends against peer comparisons. Feedback from most practices is that this has proved useful and raised awareness of alternative primary and community care pathways that can provide a more convenient and better value care alternative for the patient.

Example: There may be disproportionately high referral rates into acute or specialist services from a particular GP practice because they do not have the right information about alternative community services that are available.

5.3. Strand three: enforce existing policies

NHS England has national policies on prescribing medicines which are available over the counter (and in some cases are cheaper over the counter than with a prescription). Compliance with these policies varies across North West London and we are working to understand the reasons for this variation and ensure the policy is fully implemented by all our providers. NWL has previously agreed an approach to consultant to consultant referrals but has not fully implemented it.

Example: Consultant-to-consultant referrals are very high in parts of North West London. It is agreed national best practice that in cases where a patient is referred to a consultant and the consultant believes the patient needs to see a specialist in an unrelated area, the patient be referred back to the GP first.

5.4. Strand four: updating eligibility criteria

Although we will prioritise savings from administration and by reforming how we commission care, we will look at all aspects of our spend. This may involve updating the eligibility criteria for some of our Planned Procedures with a Threshold (PPWT) and for our patient transport services to ensure the standardised guidance fully reflects the most up to date evidence, best clinical practice, and value for money.

Example 1: We are in the process of standardising the eligibility criteria for patient transport across North West London, to make sure access is consistent and fair regardless of where a patient lives, and looking at the reasons for variation between contract costs. We evaluate the eligibility criteria on an ongoing basis in partnership with patients as this work develops. We will continue to engage with patients on this work through the patient transport group and other patient groups, through special events, and through written or online feedback submissions, among other channels.

Example 2: We are reviewing our Planned Procedures with a Threshold policies, with a view to updating the eligibility criteria for certain procedures. The current areas of focus are the eligibility thresholds for bariatric surgery, and Smoking Cessation and Pre-operation Weight Management Programme.

This is the area which is likely to be the focus for patient engagement and consultation if necessary.

5.5. Stages of implementation

The implementation of this plan has now begun, with some reforms relatively simple to achieve (such as those which are administrative in nature and do not impact patient care) and others may require wider public engagement and planning before they are taken forward.

There are four strands of work and only the fourth category will require an Equalities Impact Assessment (EQiA) or patient engagement. North West London CCGs will share this information with the JHOSC and other stakeholders as early in the process as possible.

5.6. Impact of winter

As we develop our financial recovery plans we will take into account the impact of winter on demand, activity performance across North West London. This includes increased emphasis on the urgent care pathway, so that the spike of costs anticipated for winter is minimised.

5.7. Impact on hospitals and provider trusts

Since our recovery plan is aimed at getting the NHS system back to plan, the remedial work on referrals and waiting lists should help hospitals removing the need to put on additional capacity. We continue to work closely together as a single system.

5.8. What does success look like?

We aim to return to our original plan, which was a £51m deficit. No matter how much or little money we have, it is always right and fair that we use the money we do have as efficiently as possible, and put resources where they will do the most good for patient care.

5.9. Next and Subsequent Years

Our financial planning for next year has already begun. We are anticipating that financial recovery will be a three year programme of getting us back to balance. Our plans for next and subsequent years will be guided by our NHS Long Term Plan submission, which is already available in draft on our website: <https://www.healthnorthwestlondon.nhs.uk/about/patientsandthepublic/longtermplan/draft/ltpl>

6. How These Changes Will Affect Brent Patients

6.1. The section below provides further detail on how specific recovery schemes are likely to affect Brent patients, as requested by the Community Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee.

6.2. Elective hospital services and bringing some elective hospital services back to local North West London providers

This programme will focus on “repatriating” elective procedures begin referred by General Practitioners and Trusts to providers outside North West London back into the North West London sector. The project aims to change referral patterns where NWL GPs consider using NW London providers and only refer outside of the sector if there is no capacity or if the patient requires intervention provided by specialist centres out of area. North West London NHS has an agreement with local NHS Trusts that specific quantities of activity will be delivered in each trust. Any activity above a set threshold is paid at 70% of the Payment by Results Tariff. This means that if more activity is referred to North West London providers, then approximately 30% of the tariff will be saved on each procedure. This is not true of providers external to North West London or to private sector providers.

The total amount of activity that we could bring back into the sector amounts to around 15% of all secondary care activity.

For Brent patients, they should see no change in the healthcare that they receive, except that they are more likely to be referred to a local provider rather than a provider external to the North West London health economy such as Royal Free Hospitals NHS Trust, for example. This is likely to be a benefit to patients in not having to travel longer distances across the city.

It should be noted that patients and GPs will always retain the right under the NHS Constitution to be referred to a provider of their choice and that GP retain the right to make decisions for the wellbeing of their patients.

6.3. Outpatient services and changes to outpatient appointments

NWL CCGs have reached agreement with providers that all activity in Quarters 3 and 4 of 2019/20 will be at contracted (planned) levels of activity, unless this puts waiting list commitments at risk. It was agreed that there will be no rise in 52 week waiters.

Additionally, our providers have agreed to adhere an existing consultant to consultant referral policy. The key principle behind this is that referrals relating to the original complaint can be referred on directly to another consultant. However, if an entirely different complaint comes to the attention of the consultant (unrelated to the original referral) this should be referred back to the patient’s GP first.

We have an outpatient transformation programme in North West London which has developed standardised referral guidelines. Consultants are currently triaging GP referrals against these guidelines when the referrals arrive at the hospital. Any referrals which do not adhere to the guidelines will be sent back to the referring GP with advice. In this way, unnecessary outpatient appointments can be avoided and patients may receive their care from their local GP practice.

6.4. Reducing spending on over the counter medicine prescriptions

Using the NHS London published guidance, we are working with secondary and primary care to reduce the volume of over the counter medication (for example paracetamol or ibuprofen) prescribed to patients. We have in place a communication plan for clinicians, patients and the wider public to support roll out. We will work with secondary care colleagues to support the programme and ensure that advice to patients is consistent across primary and secondary care.

Patients who are considered to be particularly vulnerable and are in receipt of free prescriptions may still receive these over the counter medications on prescription, at the discretion of their GP.

6.5. Standardising assessments for patient transport

This programme involves the renegotiation of the price on the LNWHT patient transport contract and a consistent application of current eligibility criteria. Discussions are currently underway with LNWHT with regard to the first element of the programme. Patient care will not be affected and those patients who require patient transport will still be able to receive it.

6.6. Home Oxygen and Enteral Feeds

This programme is made up of 3 elements. The first is the benefit of a pricing change following national procurement for home oxygen. The next is a clinical review of patients on home oxygen, prioritising those patients who have not been reviewed in the last 12 months and/or those patients where the data shows they are using less oxygen than prescribed. This review process will ensure that patients are not receiving a higher dosage of oxygen than they need, and that oxygen is not being wasted where it is no longer required. It will not change the criteria for patients to receive oxygen.

The enteral feeds procurement provides commissioners with a saving by reducing the costs of consumables and securing a better deal with the NHS's external providers. It does not alter the care pathway and patients will not experience any change.

6.7. Unscheduled Care

A&E attendances and unplanned emergency admissions to hospital continue to rise in an unsustainable way. These are one of the biggest drivers of the deficit to the NWL financial system. There are a number of workstreams to

address this. These schemes are divided up into “front door demand”, which is about reducing the number of patients turning up at the front door of A&E/ Urgent Care Centres, and “short stay flow”, which is about getting senior level clinician input at the start of patients’ journeys into the A&E department so that they can be turned around more quickly. This in turn means that they are less likely to be admitted to an inpatient bed.

Clinicians from LNWHUT and Brent and Harrow CCGs are currently participating in a “6As audit”. Emergency admission to hospital is a major event in people’s lives. It should never happen because it is easy to admit or to access services that could be available as an out-patient or to administer treatment that may be available closer to home or to get a specialist opinion. All of these are spurious reasons for an emergency admission. To transform emergency healthcare we need to understand why we put patients through this process when alternatives exist and operate effectively across the country but haven’t been widely implemented.

Emergency admission implies a patient is sick and requires a high level of intervention. As such, all proposed emergency admissions should prompt a clinical conversation between senior doctors, ideally consultants.

The 6 As audit is about establishing whether patients are currently going to the optimal place, or whether improvements could be made to better utilise community care pathways. The audit involves asking whether the following alternatives could have been used:

- Advice - suggest a clinical management plan that allows the patient to be managed in primary care
- Access to out-patient services - suggest an outpatient referral for specialist assessment
- Ambulatory Emergency Care - clinically stable patients appropriate for same day discharge
- Acute Frailty Unit - to provide comprehensive geriatric assessment for frail older patients
- Acute Assessment Units - to diagnose and stabilise patients likely to need admission
- Admission to specialty ward directly - for agreed clinical pathways and specialised clinical presentations

Once the conclusions of the audit are received, we will aim to optimise our referral pathways so that patients are seen in the most appropriate service and location.

6.8. High Intensity Users

This programme is about pro-active case finding of high intensity users (5 or more A&E attendances or admissions within the last 12 months) and to ensure that members of the frequent attenders forum are fully informed. The forum aims to identify other services and resources that may help the patient address their needs e.g. housing, drug and alcohol treatment programmes,

psychological interventions etc. As part of this process, the patient's GP is consistently informed of their registered patient's interactions with the ambulance/ hospital/ urgent care services. A care plan is formulated and stored on the Co-ordinate My Care system, which means that it is then accessible to hospital clinicians who need to access it as part of any future re-attendance. The aim of the programme is to reduce future unnecessary re-attendances. It will improve patient care in Brent as patients will receive proactive care that is better tailored to their needs, rather than turning up in an A&E department, which may not be best suited to the type of expertise that the patient needs.

6.9. LAS Demand

This scheme is about supporting the London Ambulance Service (LAS) to book into extended access hub appointments based in GP practices, where this would be the most appropriate course of action for the patient's needs.

Where appropriate, the 999 service will also be able to book into the access hub appointments.

To support the LNWUHT system Brent, Harrow, and Ealing CCGs have been selected for rollout in phase 1 of GP in-hours and Extended Access booking from LAS Clinical Hub (known as CHUB). Clinical engagement is underway for opening these slots to the CHUB.

6.10. LAS Walk-In Demand

The Brent category of the LAS has some of the highest rates of conveyance to A&E of all categories. This may be due to higher than average vacancy rates in the service, and a less experienced cohort of incoming paramedics that may be more risk averse in their assessment of patients. This should improve over time as staff become more experienced, but a programme of shadowing is taking place so that LAS staff understand what is available in the community and can refer patients to community pathways where a conveyance to A&E is not deemed to be required.

6.11. Same Day Emergency Care (SDEC)

SDEC is the provision of same day care for emergency patients who would otherwise be admitted to hospital.

Under this care model, patients presenting at hospital with relevant conditions can be rapidly assessed, diagnosed and treated without being admitted to a ward, and if clinically safe to do so, will go home the same day their care is provided.

When a patient comes to hospital, an SDEC service (which may operate under the name of ambulatory emergency care unit) means patients with some medical concerns can be assessed, diagnosed, treated and safely discharged home the same day, rather than being admitted.

SDEC services treat a wide range of common conditions including headaches, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolus, pneumonia, cellulitis, and diabetes. The types of conditions that can be managed through SDEC will vary depending on the hospital and needs of the local population.

We aim to expand the usage of SDEC as part of our financial recovery programme, which will reduce overnight non-elective admissions (1-2 days length of stay) and A&E attendances by increasing activity through the SDEC pathways and optimising the ambulatory emergency care units. Shorter lengths of stay attract a lower tariff for the CCGs and therefore reduce costs.

6.12. Front Door Frailty

The aim of this programme is to implement **proactive frailty services** which will avoid admissions by providing a holistic response for frail older people in the community and during time of crisis. Frailty practitioners will screen patients who are 75 or over and for those who have a high score, a consultant geriatrician at the front end of A&E will provide a comprehensive geriatric assessment. This means that we are usually able to turn the patient around more quickly so that they get the care they need and may never need an admission to an inpatient bed. This is safer for the patient, as they are likely to stay more mobile at home and not pick up hospital acquired infections.

6.13. Admission conversion rates

This programme is about the rates of which A&E attendances 'convert' into unplanned admissions to hospital beds. We are using benchmarking data to compare our local hospitals to national averages and London averages so that hospitals who are above the average try to bring their conversion rates down to the average. This means that more patients will benefit from being able to stay out of hospital and reduce their risk of hospital acquired infections. It is a financial benefit to the system because it means that we are not funding unnecessary numbers of hospital beds or opening new beds. It also allows those patients who are most seriously ill to access a bed when they need it.

6.14. Demand Management

We have a comprehensive review programme of primary care variation. Across Brent, the amount of secondary care activity and prescribing spend that are attributed to individual GP practices varies significantly, and this does not always correlate with deprivation levels of the demographics of the GP practice. We intend to reduce this unwarranted variation in practice and to enable GP practices to learn from each other to ensure that best practice care pathways are being followed.

The programme includes:

- Reviewing A&E and UCC attendances, and contacting patients within 2 days of discharge where attendance was inappropriate;
- Practices promoting self-care management and continue to improve patient access. 40 practices currently offering E-consultations with a further going live imminently;
- Ensuring visible display of GP Access Hub, NHS 111 and Online Services Posters;
- Conducting internal and external peer reviews with CCG and PCN/network leads;
- Locum, GP trainees and associates referrals to be triaged by the lead clinician/GP partner
- Educational sessions for all GPs and clinical staff. Inter-practice referrals optimising skill mix at PCN level
- Kilburn Locality has a low outpatient referral activity - learning shared with other PCNs (advice and guidance at Imperial and MDT programme)

7. Governance and Reporting

The Financial Recovery Programme is overseen by a North West London Financial Recovery Board. Because the majority of North West London's deficit is centred upon the system surrounding LNWHT, there is a separate recovery board involving LNWHT, Brent, Ealing and Harrow CCGs. This is chaired by Lesley Watts, the leader of the NWL Health and Care Partnership and the Chief Executive Officer of Chelsea & Westminster Hospital NHS Trust.

Updates are also presented to the CCG's Finance, QIPP and Performance Committee, which is a subcommittee of Brent's Governing Body.

Appendix 1: NWL Financial Recovery Schemes

Scheme		Opportunity Identified
Additional CCG savings	Fully identified, over 70% now implemented, budgets removed at line level therefore high confidence of delivery	£16m
Reserves	All fed into forecast outturn. No risk.	£16m
CHC – eliminating overspend	Project team and support in place, schemes agreed with management team, implementation underway. Savings from: catching up with the review backlog, invoice scrutiny, use of decision support tool	£7.9m
Localising Services	Savings based on 15% of out of sector referrals being treated in NWL. Supports local hospitals, takes advantage of NWL marginal rate.	£1.5m
Elective	Agreed that providers will plan activity back down to contract level, unless this puts waiting list commitments at risk (no rise in list compared to March 18 and no +52 week waiters).	£4.6
RTT reserve	Not all of the waiting list reserve may be required.	£2.9
Emergency pathway	<p>Four elements have been developed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improved frailty model on front door - Same day emergency care - Diabetes care - 111 and LAS dispatch 	£4.6
Outpatients	System has agreed to policy on C2Cs and re-establish thresholds for new to follow ups. All Trusts to cap cost at contract level.	6.0
Management Costs	CCGs have agreed the shape of single operating model that will save £1m in this year	1.0
Patient Transport	Standardisation of contracts	1.4

Procurement	Project to procure enteral feeds is well advanced, saving £1m. Awaiting details on the Home Oxygen opportunity	1.5
Estates	Further rationalisation of the primary care estate. Projects identified to deliver the savings, risk is around ability to exit buildings in time to release sufficient cash in-year	1.1
Over the Counter Medicines	CCGs have agreed to implement the national policy in full.	8.0
TOTAL		72.5 (Subject to Slippage)

This page is intentionally left blank

	Community Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee 24 th October 2019
	Report from Brent Clinical Commissioning Group
North West London Commissioning Reform Case For Change	

Wards Affected:	All Wards
Key or Non-Key Decision:	The Committee is asked to note the progress of commissioning reform in North West London CCGs. In particular the Committee is asked to note the decision of the Brent CCG Governing Body to move to a single CCG in 2021 in light of the need to focus on financial recovery, together with a move to a single operating structure across NWL, which we will move to during the course of this financial year.
Open or Part/Fully Exempt: (If exempt, please highlight relevant paragraph of Part 1, Schedule 12A of 1972 Local Government Act)	Open
No. of Appendices:	None
Background Papers:	None
Contact Officer(s): (Name, Title, Contact Details)	Sheik Auladin, Managing Director, Brent CCG - sauladin@nhs.net

1. Background

In response to the NHS Long Term Plan, which suggested that the number of CCGs will be significantly reduced to align with the number of emerging integrated care system (ICSs), NW London CCGs launched a case for change for commissioning reform on 29 May 2019.

The case for change recognised that there were questions on how the CCGs respond to the configuration issues raised by the long term plan which required exploration and resolution.

The key areas for exploration identified were:

- Whether this change to the number of CCGs happens by April 2020 or later, in April 2021
- What functions should be delivered at a NW London level and what should be organised more locally;
- How would the finances work; and
- How the changes to our CCGs relate to: changes at NW London with the development of an NW London integrated care system, the development of integrated care partnerships (ICP), based on boroughs, current CCG footprints, or groupings of boroughs, and the development of sub-borough structures such as primary care networks (PCNs).

2. Our stakeholders

Our wide ranging stakeholders range from our staff across the NW London commissioning system, our providers of health and care, our voluntary sector, supporting bodies such as the Londonwide Local Medical Committees (LMC), Healthwatch, local government and our regulators.

3. Engagement

Our engagement period launched on the 29 May with the publication of the case for change. The engagement focused on the case for change and gave stakeholders the opportunity to input into the design of the future commissioning arrangements for NW London.

During the engagement phase, we carried out significant engagement with our range of stakeholders and subsequent information was disseminated, including FAQs and detail around the operating model and governance. We agreed to extend the engagement phase to 24 August, in order to give stakeholders further time to comment and input into proposals.

Collectively, we have now attended over 130 events, including 8 governing bodies in public and 18 governing body events. In addition to this we have met with all local authorities, GP members, primary care networks and GP Federations, patient groups, the LMC, Healthwatch and most importantly, our staff.

The context in which engagement was conducted:

- NW London is the largest and most complex STP area in the country with multiple providers and eight local authorities. Our plans and reform proposals have been arguably scrutinised more thoroughly and generated greater debate than in some other areas of London and the rest of England. We are grateful for the time and effort people took to input into our plans and the responses received.
- The NHS in NW London is one of the most financially challenged in the country, and the need to get back into financial balance is a major priority which will dominate our work for the period of the financial recovery plan.
- The changes to CCG configuration are being discussed at a time when significant other changes are being proposed to the health and care system.

The health and care partnership is making good progress with integrated care at system (NW London), borough (ICP) and sub-borough level (PCN); however, in order to ensure success, the interplay between these emerging arrangements and the role of a single CCG needs to be explained with a well thought out division of responsibilities at place and system level.

4. Key issues raised

The key points that emerged through the engagement were:

- **Drivers for change:** Stakeholders generally understood the need to change our current commissioning arrangements, especially those that reduce costs from transactional activities, reduce health inequalities, support front-line delivery and are supportive of our move to integrated care. They would like to see us move away from systems that can incentivise the wrong patient pathways, such as payment by results, and focus our commissioning effort on the integration agenda.
- **Concern around timing:** Although most respondents accepted the need to reduce the number of CCGs to align with the STP there was concern about whether we would be ready by April 2020. With ICS, PCN and ICP development, and the perceived lack of clarity to the system architecture and function of ICPs in the future, GB members particularly felt that the merger would land better when ICPs and PCNs further developed in 2020/21. There is much energy and focus on our integration agenda and the characteristics of each component, we must continue to keep our efforts focused and take more time to develop the form and structures to support these developments.
- **Surplus/deficit position:** Some CCGs were concerned about what financial position the new CCG would inherit and whether historic surpluses and deficits would be netted off into the new arrangement or if the CCG was starting with a clean balance sheet. Definitive guidance on this is still awaited at the point at which these papers are published.
- **Operating model¹:** some stakeholders were unclear how the single CCG would function, how finance will flow and how responsibilities would be distributed between different levels. Some stakeholders suggested that a transition year will help us continue at pace, whilst we ensure risks are managed effectively.
- **Governance products:** some stakeholders expressed a desire to see and have time to effectively scrutinise the new CCG constitution, scheme of delegation and powers delegated to local committees before a decision is taken. There has been significant interest in our constitution, and we are now

¹ An operating model is the blueprint for how resources are organised and operated to deliver the strategy. All elements of the operating model—structure, accountabilities, governance, behaviours as well as the way people, processes and technology get integrated to deliver key capabilities—must be explicitly designed to support the strategy. <https://www.bain.com/insights/design-principles-for-a-robust-operating-model/>

engaging more widely with the support of LMC colleagues. Maintaining clinical leadership and ensuring the empowerment of members was raised multiple times as a very important point to emphasise in the new governance products and new arrangements.

- **Patient involvement and democratic scrutiny:** concerns were expressed as to whether there would be a loss of democratic accountability to local authorities and local residents in each area.
- **Justification for one CCG rather than more:** some comments were received that we had not clearly justified the proposal for one CCG rather than two or more. During the engagement phase it was explained that a single CCG would achieve the NHS Long Term Plan aim of aligning the CCG boundary to the STP boundary and that if we were to deviate from that a justification was required. We made clear that we were willing to consider arguments for more than one CCG, but none were put forward.
- **Staff:** the key response from staff was about the implications for them and whether there would be job losses. Clearly the required reductions in management costs will have an implication for jobs but given the number of vacancies and interim posts we currently have, we would not anticipate significant numbers of compulsory redundancies.

5. CCG Chairs Review

The CCG Chairs, the Accountable Officer, and STP SRO met to review the position and consider the results of the engagement period, and to agree the recommendation to take to governing bodies.

It was noted that:

- Financial recovery is the number one priority in NW London;
- There is a strong desire for the collaboration to move forward as a partnership of eight boroughs and to work with providers to develop alternative payment and contractual arrangements from 2020/21 to support our collective desire of ICP and ICS delivery;
- Due to the significant interest and complexity in the system, a number of products remain in development, such as the CCG constitution and scheme of delegation;
- We are yet to receive finalised advice from NHSE on the financial surplus/deficit position;
- There is not an aligned view amongst governing bodies, CCG members, and stakeholders to support the earlier date for CCG merger; and that

- Not supporting a merger in 2020 did not mean no change, indeed a number of changes will still be required as we transition to formal merger in 2021.

There are a number of changes we need to make in preparation for 2021:

- CCG Governing Bodies are expected to agree to a commitment to merge in April 2021.
- CCGs will move to a transition year, working under a single operating model for 2020/21.
- As part of this transition year, each CCG will require a clear plan to demonstrate the delivery of the equivalent financial and efficiency benefits to that of a formal merger from April 2020. This will need to include plans for the following areas:
 - Delivery of cost savings and organisational efficiencies to meet the 20% management cost reduction.
 - Developing the NW London-wide collaborative governance arrangements and reducing CCG governing body committee and governance meetings.
 - Rationalisation of governing body membership, in line with the arrangements that we have already been making to share roles and standardise and review clinical lead arrangements in line with the new operating model.
 - Developing a single operating model and new staffing structures to reduce duplication and support the development of integrated care arrangements at borough and ICS level.

The points above align with our regulators expectations of how a transition year would operate, and are consistent with other areas in London where merger is deferred until 2021.

6. Recommendation to the Governing Body

At the last CCG Governing Body on 25th September 2019, it was the CCG Chairs' and Accountable Officer's recommendation that:

6. 1. In view of the feedback from our stakeholders, the need to focus on financial recovery, and the commitment of all Chairs to remain aligned as an eight borough collaboration, it was recommended to CCG governing bodies that the merger to a single CCG for NW London takes place on 1 April 2021.

The Governing Body was asked to note the following consequence of recommendation:

6. 2 This transition year will enable us to work with each governing body to focus on:

- a. System financial recovery
- b. Development of integrated care at PCN, borough and ICS level
- c. Building closer working relationships with our local authorities
- d. The development of a single operating structure across the commissioning system, and meet the expectations of NHSE that we would operate in 2020/21 under a single operating framework, with the associated reduction in management costs and streamlined governance
- e. To work with providers to develop alternative reimbursement structures from 2020/21 to support delivery of ICP/ICS.

7. Decision

The Brent Governing Body endorsed the recommendations of the CCG Chairs and the Accountable Officer and agreed that it would:

- Review its structures and implement a single operating model in line with financial recovery;
- Continue its engagement on the future CCG constitution and related governance documentation;
- Work together during the transition year, making its meetings more efficient and effective, while maintaining strong public engagement and effective scrutiny; and
- Continue to work with members to demonstrate benefits of merging as the Governing Body prepares to vote in 2020.

8 Implications for Joint Commissioning between CCG and Local Authority

8. 1 An agreement to adhere to the principles of a proposed high level model of integration between Brent Council and CCG at the Health and Wellbeing Board on 15th July 2019.

The Health and Wellbeing Board agreed that integration between health and social care would take place in two phases:

- Phase 1 – develop integrated commissioning arrangements for existing integrated services, in addition to a small number of other priority opportunity areas
- Phase 2 – develop more broad reaching integrated arrangements across the full spectrum of health and social care services, including the appoint of a joint director of health and social care, reporting to the council chief executive and NWL CCGs accountable officer

The Board agreed that phase 1 would include the following existing integrated services:

- Adult community mental health
- Community learning disability service
- Integrated rehabilitation and reablement team
- Transformation team

There is already a considerable degree of integration in the way that the teams across health and social care collaborate across these areas.

With regard to any staffing changes to support the integration of commissioning with the local authority, new staffing structures are currently being worked through at North West London level to reduce management costs within the CCGs. Under this arrangement, more functions will be managed under central function as part of the single operating model.

8.2 The following functions are planned to be led centrally:

- Finance
- Quality
- Commissioning
- Contracting/ Business Intelligence
- Performance Management
- QIPP
- Primary Care Contracting
- HR and OD (some functions, such as payroll and recruitment, may be outsourced)
- ICS development/strategic planning
- Governance
- EPPR and Business Continuity
- Communications (and NW London-wide engagement)
- Digital
- Corporate IT functions and GP IT (equipment, service desk, telephony, data centre, cyber security, networks)
- Estates (premises and strategic estates)
- Medicines management
- CHC

8.3 The following functions are planned to be delivered locally:

- Primary care and PCN development
- Relationships and member engagement
- Local clinical leadership
- Joint Commissioning
- ICP development
- CCG governing body
- Local QIPP planning and performance

- Primary Care Estates
- Public engagement
- Equality, diversity and inclusion

8.4 Once the financial envelope and staffing numbers for the local team based in Brent have been finalised, the CCG will be in a position to work with its local authority colleagues to adapt the structure (and in particular the joint commissioning function) to support integrated services and integrated commissioning between health and social care.

9 The Role of Primary Care Networks

From 1 July 2019, all patients in England were required to be covered by a primary care network (PCN). PCNs are made up from groups of neighbouring general practices. New funding is being channelled through the networks to employ staff to deliver services to patients across the member practices. PCNs are not new legal bodies, but their formation requires existing providers of general practice to work together and to share funds on a scale not previously seen in UK general practice. The hope of national NHS leaders is that PCNs will improve the range and effectiveness of primary care services and boost the status of general practice within the wider NHS.

PCNs will receive funding to employ additional health professionals such as pharmacists and paramedics. The NHS long term plan envisages that the networks will also be a vehicle for improvements in primary care and broader population health, and give primary care more influence within the larger Integrated Care Systems (ICS) – geographically based partnerships of NHS organisations and local authorities – which will be in place across England by 2021.

Brent GPs have come together to form Primary Care Networks (PCN), these are groups of like-minded GPs working together with particular focus on the needs of their population. The characteristics of a PCN are set out below.

The core characteristics of a Primary Care Network (PCN) are:

- **Practices working together and with other local health and care providers**, around natural local communities that geographically make sense, to provide coordinated care through integrated teams
- **A defined patient population in the region of 30,000-50,000**
- **Providing care in different ways to match different people's needs**, including flexible access to advice and support for 'healthier' sections of the population, and joined up care for those with complex conditions
- **Focus on prevention and personalised care**, supporting patients to make **informed decisions** about their care and look after their own health, by connecting them with the full range of statutory and voluntary services
- **Use of data and technology** to assess population health needs and health inequalities, to inform, design and deliver practice and population scale care models; support clinical decision making, and monitor performance and variation to inform continuous service improvement
- **Making best use of collective resources across practices** and other local health and care providers to allow greater resilience, more sustainable workload and access to a larger range of professional groups

The CCG has supported the development of each PCN through direct and indirect, including funding for additional staff. The investment of circa £1.9m within the current financial year has focused on increasing capacity in general practice, freeing up lead GPs to take a strategic role within their PCN and funding for extended access at PCN level.

Through a new Additional Roles Reimbursement Scheme, Networks will be guaranteed funding for an up to estimate 20,000+ additional staff (nationally) by 2023/24. The table below set out the additional roles which will be funded by the CCG over the next four years.

Year	Professional	Funding
Year 1	Clinical pharmacists and & Social prescribing link workers	£92K
Year 2	Physician associates & first contact Physiotherapists	£213K
Year 3	Paramedics	£342K
Year 4	From 2022, all of the above workforce will be increased, by 2024 a typical network will receive 5 clinical pharmacists (equivalent of one per practice), 3 social prescribers, 3 first contact physiotherapists, 2 physicians associates and 1 community paramedic.	£726K

The scheme will meet a recurrent 70% of the costs of additional clinical pharmacists, physician associates, physiotherapists, and community paramedics; and 100% of the costs of additional social prescribing link workers.

The aim of the additional roles will be to provide additional and increased capacity in general practice with patients being seen by the right clinician in the right setting. For Brent this means an **additional 10 Clinical Pharmacists and social prescribers** treating and supporting patients, in the current financial year. For 2020 **a further 10** pharmacists, physician’s associates and physiotherapists will be funded by the CCG. This increase capacity in workforce will increase access to primary care.

10 Risks of Implementing the Change

Key risks and mitigations are outlined below:

Risk	Mitigating actions
Increased workload for staff during transition year as a result of reduced headcount	We are putting in place new processes to work more efficiently and reduce duplication of effort within the CCGs. There may be a short term increase in workload during the transition year (for example finance department will need to continue to operate 8 sets of accounts), but this will resolve when we move into a single organisation in 2021.

<p>If we do not engage sufficiently with stakeholders there is a risk that we may not realise the benefits for commissioning reform in North West London.</p>	<p>We are developing an engagement plan. Once it is agreed and implementation has commenced we envisage that it will be unlikely that there will be a moderate slippage to the timetable, reducing the risk.</p>
<p>If we do not develop an approach that is coherent across the ICS, single CCG, ICPs and Primary Care Networks then this could become just an administrative change that will not help us to address the underlying issues of financial and clinical sustainability resulting in intervention by regulators.</p>	<p>We have measures in place; however, we need to do more to meet national standards.</p> <p>By implementing improvements and evidencing success we can reduce the likelihood of regulator intervention.</p>
<p>If we do not merge into a single organisation with clearly articulated financial principles and flow, then we risk success to financial recovery and sustainability resulting in a lack of cohesive operations and delivery.</p>	<p>Until we have agreement from governing bodies to the merger and associated financial principles and flow, we cannot reduce the risk.</p> <p>With agreed principles we can implement, it is unlikely this risk will be of detriment to financial recovery.</p>

Appendix 1

Engagement Activities

CCG/ NWL	Event	Date
Brent CCG	Governing Body Meetings	26/06/2019
Brent CCG	Governing Body Seminar	10/07/2019
Brent CCG	Governing Body Seminar	08/05/2019
Central London CCG	Governing Body Meetings	12/06/2019
Central London CCG	Governing Body Seminars	08/05/2019
Central London CCG	Governing Body Seminars	10/07/2019
Ealing CCG	Governing Body Meetings	19/06/2019
Ealing CCG	Governing Body Seminar	22/05/2019
Ealing CCG	Governing Body Seminar	24/07/2019
Hammersmith & Fulham CCG	Governing Body Meetings	11/06/2019
Hammersmith & Fulham CCG	Governing Body Seminar	07/05/2019
Hammersmith & Fulham CCG	Governing Body Seminar	16/07/2019
Harrow CCG	Governing Body Meetings	18/07/2019
Harrow CCG	Governing Body Seminars	21/05/2019
Harrow CCG	Governing Body Seminars	16/06/2019
Hillingdon CCG	Governing Body Meetings	05/06/2019
Hillingdon CCG	Organisation Development Seminars (GB)	08/05/2019
Hillingdon CCG	Organisation Development Seminars (GB)	24/07/2019
Hounslow CCG	Governing Body Meetings	11/06/2019
Hounslow CCG	Governing Body Seminar	14/05/2019
Hounslow CCG	Governing Body Seminar	09/07/2019
West London CCG	Governing Body Development session	07/05/2019
West London CCG	Governing Body Development session	04/06/2019
West London CCG	Governing Body Development session	02/07/2019
West London CCG	Governing Body Development session	06/07/2019
West London CCG	Governing Body Meetings	18/06/2019
Brent CCG	locality meeting	27/06/2019
Brent CCG	locality meeting	10/07/2019
Brent CCG	locality meeting	19/07/2019
Brent CCG	GP Engagement	June 2019 - July 2019
Central London CCG	Council members	26/06/2019
Central London CCG	Membership meetings (big conversation)	26/06/2019
Central London CCG	Practice visits	June 2019 onwards -

		Present
Central London CCG	Primary Care Network Boards	06/08/2019
Central London CCG	Primary Care Network Boards	15/08/2019